

Design by synthesis

Colm O'Riordan

School of Computer Science

Design by Synthesis - Background

Typically, we have the relation R and a set of functional dependencies F .

We wish to create a decomposition $D = R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m$.

Clearly, all attributes of R must occur in a least one schema R_i , i.e.,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m R_i = R$$

This is known as the **attribute preservation** constraint.

Functional dependencies

A functional dependency is a constraint between two sets of attributes. A functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$ exists if for all tuples t_1 and t_2 , if $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$, then $t_1[Y] = t_2[Y]$.

Usually only specify the obvious functional dependencies. There may exist many more.

Given a set of functional dependencies F , the closure of F (denoted F^+) refers to all dependencies that can be derived from F .

A set of inference rules exist, that allow us to deduce or infer all functional dependencies from a given initial set.

Known as Armstrong's Axioms

Armstrong's Axioms

- Reflexivity: if $X \supseteq Y$, then $X \rightarrow Y$
- Augmentation: if $X \rightarrow Y$, then $XZ \rightarrow YZ$
- Transitivity: if $X \rightarrow Y$, $Y \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow Z$
- Projectivity: if $X \rightarrow YZ$, then $X \rightarrow Z$
- Additivity: if $X \rightarrow Y$, $X \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow YZ$
- Pseudo-transitivity: if $X \rightarrow Y$, $WY \rightarrow Z$, then $WX \rightarrow Z$

The first three rules have been shown to be *sound* and *complete*.

Sound

Given a set F specified on a relation R , any dependency we can infer from F using the first three rules, holds for every state r of R that satisfies the dependencies in F .

Complete

We can use the first three rules repeatedly to infer all possible dependencies that can be inferred from F .

For any set of attributes A , we can infer A^+ , the set of attributes that are functionally determined by A given a set of functional dependencies.

Algorithm to determine the closure of A under F

$A^+ := A;$

repeat

$oldA^+ := A^+$

for each functional dependency $Y \rightarrow Z \in F$ do

 if $A^+ \supseteq Y$, then

$A^+ := A^+ \cup Z$

until ($A^+ == oldA^+$)

Cover Sets

A set of functional dependencies, F , covers a set of functional dependencies E , if every functional dependency in E is in F^+

Equivalence

Two set of functional dependencies, E and F are equivalent is $E^+ = F^+$

We can check if F covers E by calculating A^+ with respect to F for each functional dependency $A \rightarrow B$ and then checking that A^+ includes the attributes of B

Minimal Cover Sets

A set of functional dependencies, F , is minimal if:

- Every functional dependency in F has a single attribute for its right hand side.
- We cannot remove any dependency from F and maintain a set of dependencies equivalent to F .
- We cannot replace any dependency $X \rightarrow A$ with a dependency $Y \rightarrow A$ where $Y \subset X$, and still maintain a set of dependencies equivalent to F .

All functional dependencies $X \rightarrow Y$, specified in F , should exist in one of the schema R_i , or should be inferable from the dependencies in R_i .

This is known as the **dependency preservation** constraint.

Each functional dependency specifies some constraint; if the dependency is absent then some desired constraint is also absent.

If a functional dependency is absent then we must enforce the constraint in some other manner. This can be inefficient.

Given F and R , the *projection* of F on R_i , denoted $\pi_{R_i}(F)$ where R_i is a subset of R , is the set $X \rightarrow Y$ in F^+ such that attributes $X \cup Y \in R_i$.

A decomposition of R is dependency-preserving if $((\pi_{R_1}(F)) \cup \dots \cup (\pi_{R_m}(F)))^+ = F^+$.

Theorem:

It is always possible to find a decomposition D with respect to F such that:

- 1 the decomposition is dependency-preserving
- 2 all R_i in D are in 3NF

We can always guarantee a dependency-preserving decomposition to 3NF.

Algorithm:

- 1 Find a minimal cover set G for F .
- 2 for each left hand side X of a functional dependency in G , create a relation $X \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \dots A_m$ in D , where $X \rightarrow A_1 X \rightarrow A_2 \dots$ are the only dependencies in G with X as a left hand side.
- 3 Group any remaining attributes into a single relation.

Lossless joins

Consider the following relation:

EMPPROJ: ssn, pnumber, hours, ename, pname, plocation

and its decomposition to:

EMPPROJ1: ename, plocation

EMPLOCAN: ssn, pno, hrs, pname, plocation

If we perform a natural join on these relations, we may generate spurious tuples.

Lossless Joins

Also known as *non-additive joins*.

When a natural join is issued against relations, no spurious tuples should be generated.

A decomposition $D = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n\}$ of R has the lossless join property wrt to F on R if for every instance r the following holds:

$$\bowtie (\pi_{R_1}(r), \dots, \pi_{R_m}(r)) = r$$

We can automate procedure for testing for lossless property.

Can also automate the decomposition of R into R_1, \dots, R_m such that it possesses the lossless join property.

A decomposition $D = \{R_1, R_2\}$ has the lossless property iff:

- functional dependency $(R_1 \cap R_2) \rightarrow \{R_1 - R_2\}$ is in F^+
- or functional dependency $(R_1 \cap R_2) \rightarrow \{R_2 - R_1\}$ is in F^+

Furthermore, if a decomposition has the lossless property, and we decompose one of R_i such that this also is a lossless decomposition, then replacing that decomposition of R_i in the original decomposition will result in a lossless decomposition.

Algorithm to decompose to BCNF

Let $D = R$

while there is a schema B in D that violates BCNF do

 choose B

 find functional dependency $(X \rightarrow Y)$ that violates BCNF

 replace B with

$(B - Y)$ and $(X \cup Y)$

So, we guarantee a decomposition such that:

- all attributes are preserved
- lossless join property is enforced
- all R_i are in BCNF

It is not always possible to decompose R into a set of R_i such that all R_i satisfy BCNF and properties of lossless joins and dependency preservation are maintained.

We can guarantee a decomposition such that:

- all attributes are preserved
- all relations are in 3NF
- all functional dependencies are maintained
- the lossless join property is maintained

Algorithm: Finding a key for relation schema R

set $K := R$.

For each attribute $A \in K$.

 compute $(K - A)^+$ wrt to set of functional dependencies.

 if $e (K - A)^+$ contains all the attributes in R, the set $K := K - \{A\}$.

Summary

Given a set of functional dependencies F , we can develop a minimal cover set.

Using this we can decompose R into a set of relations such that all attributes are preserved, all functional dependencies are preserved, the decomposition has the lossless join property and all relations are in 3NF.

Advantages

- Provides a good database design.
- Can be automated.

Disadvantages

- Oftentimes, numerous good designs are possible.