CT3531

Assignment 02: Build & Test OSPF Routed Network

1 Network Topology



Figure 1: Network Topology

Note that the Internet device is linked to the CoreRouter device via the enp7s0f3u1u4 interface. This is because I am running the simulation locally on my GNU/Linux laptop without any virtualisation – enp7s0f3u1u4 is the name of the Ethernet interface on my laptop.

2 Routers Pinging Each Other

The following screenshots show each of the routers pinging each each router that they are directly linked to:

/ip dhcp-server network		add action=masquerade chain=srcnat out-inter	ace=ether1			
add address=192.168.100.0/24 dns-server=8.8.8.	8 gateway=192.168.100.1	/routing ospf interface				
/routing ospf interface		add dead-interval=5s hello-interval=1s inter	ace=ether2			
add dead-interval=5s hello-interval=1s interfa	ce=ether1	add dead-interval=5s hello-interval=1s inter	ace=ether3			
add dead-interval=5s hello-interval=1s interfa	ce=ether3	/routing osof network				
/routing osnf network		add area=backbone network=10 0 3 0/24				
add area=backbone network=10 0 1 0/24		add area=backbone network=10 0 1 0/24				
add area-backbone network=10.0.2.0/24		/system identity				
(system identity)		sot pama=CoreBouter				
ret corrector Der Building		Set Hume-coreconeter				
		[admin@corekouter] > ping 10.0.1.2				
[admin@EngBuilding] > ping 10.0.1.1		SEQ HOST	SIZE TTL TIME STATUS			
SEQ HOST	SIZE TTL TIME STATUS					
		0 10.0.1.2	56 64 0ms			
		1 10.0.1.2	56 64 Øms			
0 10.0.1.1	56 64 Øms	2 10.0.1.2	56 64 Øms			
1 10.0.1.1	56 64 0ms	3 10.0.1.2	56 64 0ms			
2 10.0.1.1	56 64 Øms	4 10.0.1.2	56 64 0ms			
3 10.0.1.1	56 64 0ms	<pre>sent=5 received=5 packet-loss=0% min-rtt=</pre>	<pre>@ms avg-rtt=0ms max-rtt=0ms</pre>			
4 10.0.1.1	56 64 0ms					
<pre>sent=5 received=5 packet-loss=0% min-rtt=0</pre>	ms avg-rtt=0ms max-rtt=0ms	[admin@CoreRouter] >				
	<u> </u>					
[admin@EngBuilding] > []						

 $Figure \ 2: \ \texttt{EngBuilding} \leftrightarrow \texttt{CoreRouter}$

/ip dhcp-server network		<pre>add disabled=no interface=ether1</pre>			
add address=192.168.100.0/24 dns-server=8.8.8	.8 gateway=192.168.100.1	/ip dhcp-server network			
/routing ospf interface		add address=192.168.200.0/24 dns-server=8.8.8	.8 gateway=192.168.200.1		
add dead-interval=5s hello-interval=1s interfa	ace=ether1	/routing ospf interface			
add dead-interval=5s hello-interval=1s interf	ce=ether3	add dead-interval=5s hello-interval=1s interf	ace=ether1		
/routing ospf network		add dead-interval=5s hello-interval=1s interf	ace=ether2		
add area=backbone network=10.0.1.0/24		/routing ospf network			
add area=backbone network=10.0.2.0/24		add area=backbone network=10.0.2.0/24			
/system identity		add area=backbone network=10.0.3.0/24			
set name=EngBuilding		/system identity			
[admin@EngBuilding] > ping 10.0.2.1		set name=ITBuilding			
SEO HOST	SIZE TTL TIME STATUS	[admin@ITBuilding] > ping 10.0.2.2			
		SEO HOST	SIZE TTL TIME STATUS		
0 10.0.2.1	56 64 0ms	0 10.0.2.2	56 64 0ms		
1 10.0.2.1	56 64 0ms	1 10.0.2.2	56 64 Øms		
2 10.0.2.1	56 64 0ms	2 10.0.2.2	56 64 0ms		
3 10.0.2.1	56 64 0ms	3 10.0.2.2	56 64 Øms		
4 10.0.2.1	56 64 0ms	4 10.0.2.2	56 64 Øms		
<pre>sent=5 received=5 packet-loss=0% min-rtt=</pre>	Oms avg-rtt=Oms max-rtt=Oms	<pre>sent=5 received=5 packet-loss=0% min-rtt=</pre>	0ms avg-rtt=0ms max-rtt=0ms		
[admin@EngBuilding] > []		[admin@ITBuilding] >			

 $Figure \ 3: \ \texttt{EngBuilding} \leftrightarrow \texttt{ITBuilding}$



 $Figure \ 4: \ \texttt{CoreRouter} \leftrightarrow \texttt{ITBuilding}$

3 Routers Pinging Each Other's Loopback Addresses

The following screenshots show each router pinging the loopback addresses of each of the other routers:

[admin@Eng]Building] > p	ing 10.10.10.3						
SEQ HOST	Г		SIZE	TTL	TIME	STATUS		
					-			
0 10.1	10.10.3		56	64	Øms			
1 10.1	10.10.3		56	64	øms			
2 10.1	10.10.3		56	64	Øms			
3 10.1	10.10.3		00	64	Øms			
sent=4	Frecerved=4 p	acket-loss=0% ml	n-itt=øms av	g-10	oms	max-rtt=oms		
[admin@End	Buildinal > p	ing 10.10.10.4						
SEQ HOST	Γ		SIZE	TTL	TIME	STATUS		
0 10.1	10.10.4		56	64	Øms			
1 10.1	10.10.4		56	64	Øms			
2 10.1	10.10.4		56	64	Øms			
3 10.1	L0.10.4		56	64	0ms			
sent=4	<pre>t received=4 p</pre>	acket-loss=0% mi	n-rtt=0ms av	g-rti	=0ms	<pre>max-rtt=0ms</pre>		
r - dui - or								
lagwingeng	ing a second sec							
[admin@Con	rePouterl > in	address print			dmine	TTRuildingl > ir	address print	
	disabled T	- invalid D - d	vnamic	F	ans .	X - disabled T	- invalid D - c	vnamic
# ADDRE	SS	NETWORK	INTERFACE	4	Lags. E AD	DRESS	NETWORK	INTERFACE
0 10.10	0.10.3/32	10.10.10.3	Loopback	6	19	92.168.200.1/24	192.168.200.0	VLAN202
1 10.0	.3.2/24	10.0.3.0	ether2	1	10	0.10.10.4/32	10.10.10.4	Loopback
2 10.0	.1.2/24	10.0.1.0	ether3	2	2 10	0.0.3.1/24	10.0.3.0	ether2
3 0 10 22	26 144 201/20	10 226 144 0	ether1		10	0 2 2/24	10020	ether1
							20101210	
[admin@Con	reRouter] >			[8	dmin@	ITBuilding] > 🗌		

Figure 5: EngBuilding Pinging the Loopback Addresses of the Other Routers

[admin@ITBuilding] > ping 10.10.10.3	
SEQ HOST SIZE TT	L TIME STATUS
0 10.10.10.3 56 6	4 1ms
1 10.10.10.3 56 6	4 Oms
2 10.10.10.3 56 6	4 Oms
3 10.10.10.3 56 6	4 Oms
<pre>sent=4 received=4 packet-loss=0% min-rtt=0ms avg-r</pre>	tt=0ms max-rtt=1ms
[admin@ITBuilding] > ping 10.10.10.1	
SEQ HOST SIZE TT	L TIME STATUS
	4 0ms
2 10 10 10 1	
3 10 10 10 1	4 0m5
sent=4 received=4 packet-loss=0% min-rtt=0ms avo-r	toms
Sere-4 received-4 packet ross-ow min rec-ons avg r	
[admin@ITBuilding] >	
<pre>[admin@CoreRouter] > ip address print</pre>	0 192.168.100.1/24 192.168.100.0 VLAN101
Flags: X - disabled, I - invalid, D - dynamic	
# ADDRESS NETWORK INTERFACE	
	1 10.10.1/32 10.10.1 Loopback
0 10.10.10.3/32 10.10.10.3 Loopback	
1 10.0.3.2/24 10.0.3.0 ether2	2 10.0.2.1/24 10.0.2.0 ether1
2 10.0.1.2/24 10.0.1.0 ether3	
2 10.0.1.2/24 10.0.1.0 ether3	3 10.0.1.1/24 10.0.1.0 ether3
2 10.0.1.2/24 10.0.1.0 ether3 3 D 10.226.144.201/20 10.226.144.0 ether1	3 10.0.1.1/24 10.0.1.0 ether3
2 10.0.1.2/24 10.0.1.0 ether3 3 D 10.226.144.201/20 10.226.144.0 ether1 [admin@CoreBouter1 > ∏	3 10.0.1.1/24 10.0.1.0 ether3

Figure 6: ITBuilding Pinging the Loopback Addresses of the Other Routers

[admin@CoreRouter] > ping 10.10.10.4					
SEQ HOST	SIZE TTL TIME ST	ATUS			
0 10.10.10.4	56 64 Øms				
1 10.10.10.4	56 64 Øms				
2 10.10.10.4	56 64 Øms				
3 10.10.10.4	56 64 Øms				
<pre>sent=4 received=4 packet-loss=0% mi</pre>	n-rtt=0ms avg-rtt=0ms max	-rtt=0ms			
<pre>[admin@CoreRouter] > ping 10.10.10.1</pre>					
SEQ HOST	SIZE TTL TIME ST	ATUS			
0 10.10.10.1	56 64 Øms				
1 10.10.10.1	56 64 Øms				
2 10.10.10.1	56 64 Øms				
3 10.10.10.1	56 64 Øms				
<pre>sent=4 received=4 packet-loss=0% mi</pre>	n-rtt=0ms avg-rtt=0ms max	-rtt=0ms			
[admin@CoreRouter] >					
<pre>[admin@ITBuilding] > ip address print</pre>		1	10.10.10.1/32	10.10.10.1	Loopback
Flags: X - disabled, I - invalid, D - dy	namic				
# ADDRESS NETWORK	INTERFACE				
		2	10.0.2.1/24	10.0.2.0	ether1
0 192.168.200.1/24 192.168.200.0	VLAN202				
1 10.10.10.4/32 10.10.10.4	Loopback	3	10.0.1.1/24	10.0.1.0	ether3
2 10.0.3.1/24 10.0.3.0	ether2		_		
		[adm:	<pre>in@EngBuilding] > [</pre>		
3 10.0.2.2/24 10.0.2.0	ether1				
[admin@ITBuilding] > [

Figure 7: CoreRouter Pinging the Loopback Addresses of the Other Routers

4 VPCs Pinging Each Other

The following screenshot shows the two PCs pinging each other:

PC1-VLAN	101> ip dhcp		
DORA IP :	192.168.100.254/24 GW	192.168.100.1	
PC1-VLAN	101> ping 192.168.200	. 254	
84 bytes	from 192.168.200.254	icmp_seq=1 ttl=62	time=1.741 ms
84 bytes	from 192.168.200.254	icmp_seq=2 ttl=62	time=1.504 ms
84 bytes	from 192.168.200.254	icmp_seq=3 ttl=62	time=1.637 ms
84 bytes	from 192.168.200.254	icmp_seq=4 ttl=62	time=1.573 ms
84 bytes	from 192.168.200.254	icmp_seq=5 ttl=62	time=1.701 ms
PC1-VLAN	101> []		
PC2-VLAN	202> ip dhcp		
DORA IP :	192.168.200.254/24 GW	192.168.200.1	
PC2-VLAN	202> ping 192.168.100	. 254	
84 bytes	from 192.168.100.254	icmp_seq=1 ttl=62	time=1.460 ms
84 bytes	from 192.168.100.254	icmp_seq=2 ttl=62	time=1.536 ms
84 bytes	from 192.168.100.254	icmp_seq=3 ttl=62	time=1.437 ms
84 bytes	from 192.168.100.254	icmp_seq=4 ttl=62	time=1.320 ms
84 bytes	from 192.168.100.254	icmp_seq=5 ttl=62	time=1.610 ms
PC2-VLAN	202> []		

Figure 8: PC1-VLAN101 \leftrightarrow PC2-VLAN202

5 Verify that the Internet is Reachable from All Devices

I encountered some difficulty reaching the Internet from my devices as I was running the simulations locally on my GNU/Linux laptop, and my packets were getting blocked at some point by the University's firewall, both from my simulated devices such as the VPCs & MikroTik routers, and when I ran a traceroute directly from my laptop. However, the traces from my routers & VPCs got stuck at the same IP address as the traceroute from my real laptop did, which indicates to me that the Internet was reachable and operational from my network simulation, at least to the same extent as it was reachable from my laptop.

PC1-\	/LAN1	01>	trace	8.8.8.8		
trace	e to	8.8	.8.8, 8	hops max,	press Ctr	l+C to stop
1	192.	168	.100.1	0.435 ms	0.368 ms	0.355 ms
2	10.0	.1.	2 1.0	99 ms 0.65	56 ms 0.6	27 ms
3	10.2	26.	128.1	81.669 ms	125.263	ms 20.851 ms
4	10.2	54.	171.41	1.132 ms	1.095 ms	1.085 ms
5	10.2	54.	171.105	1.117 ms	5 1.107 m	s 1.077 ms
6	*	*	*			
7	*	*	*			
8	*	*	*			

Figure 9: Trace to 8.8.8.8 from PC1-VLAN101

PC2-	VLAN2	02>	trace	8.8.8.8		
trace	e to	8.8	.8.8, 8	hops max,	press Ctrl	+C to stop
1	192.	168	.200.1	0.712 ms	0.424 ms	0.322 ms
2	10.0	.3.	2 0.6	97 ms 0.6	18 ms 0.59	4 ms
3	10.2	26.	128.1	39.126 ms	28.893 ms	24.383 ms
4	10.2	54.	171.41	1.384 ms	1.143 ms	1.128 ms
5	10.2	54.	171.105	1.138 m	s 1.070 ms	1.047 ms
6	*	*	*			
7	*	*	*			
8	*	*	*			



<pre>[admin@CoreRouter] > tool</pre>	traceroute 8.8	.8.8						
# ADDRESS	LOSS	SENT	LAST	AVG	BEST	WORST	STD-DEV S	STATUS
1 10.226.128.1	0%	6	42.5ms	30.4	17	42.5	7.6	
2 10.254.171.41	0%	6	0.5ms	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.1	
3 10.254.171.105	0%	6	0.5ms	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.1	
4	100%	6	timeout					
5	100%	6	timeout					
б	100%	6	timeout					
7	100%	6	timeout					
8	100%	5	timeout					
								5

Figure 11: Trace to 8.8.8.8 from CoreRouter

[a	dmin@EngBuilding] >	tool traceroute 8.8	8.8.8							
#	ADDRESS	LOSS	SENT	LAST	AVG	BEST	WORST	STD-DEV	STATUS	
1	10.0.1.2	0%	4	0.5ms	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.1		
#	ADDRESS	LOSS	SENT	LAST	AVG	BEST	WORST	STD-DEV	STATUS	
1	10.0.1.2	0%	6	0.6ms	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.1		
2	10.226.128.1	0%	6	24.2ms	26.1	21.2	33.5	3.8		
3	10.254.171.41	0%	6	1ms	1	0.9	1.2	0.1		
4	10.254.171.105	0%	6	0.8ms	1	0.8	1.2	0.1		
5		100%	6	timeout						
6		100%	6	timeout						
7		100%	6	timeout						
8		100%	5	timeout						
9		100%	5	timeout						

Figure 12: Trace to 8.8.8 from EngBuilding

[ad	<pre>min@ITBuilding] > t</pre>	<pre>ool traceroute 8.8.</pre>	8.8						
#	ADDRESS	LOSS	SENT	LAST	AVG	BEST	WORST	STD-DEV ST	ATUS
1	10.0.3.2	0%	6	0.6ms	0.8	0.6	1.1	0.2	
2	10.226.128.1	0%	6	24.4ms	32.3	24.4	56.3	10.9	
3	10.254.171.41	0%	6	1.1ms	1.2	1.1	1.2	0.1	
4	10.254.171.105	0%	6	0.9ms	1	0.8	1.1	0.1	
5		100%	6	timeout					
6		100%	6	timeout					
7		100%	6	timeout					
8		100%	6	timeout					
9		100%	6	timeout					



[and	dr	ew	@arch] ~					
\$ t	ra	ce	route 8.8.8	3.8				
tra	ce	ro	ute to 8.8.	8.8 (8.8.8	8.8), 30	hops max,	60 byte pack	kets
1		ga	teway (10.2	226.128.1)	31.868	ms 31.826	5 ms 34.083	ms
2	1	0.	254.171.41	(10.254.1	71.41) 1	l1.513 ms	11.503 ms	l1.493 ms
3	1	0.	254.171.105	5 (10.254.	171.105)	11.566 ms	s 11.556 ms	11.546 ms
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								

Figure 14: Trace to 8.8.8 Directly from My Laptop

6 CoreRouter's Routing Table

<pre>[admin@CoreRouter] > ip route print Flags: X - disabled, A - active, D - dynamic, C - connect, S - static, r - rip, b - bgp, o - ospf, m - mme, B - blackbole, U - upreachable, P - prohibit</pre>							
#	DST-ADDRESS	PREF-SRC	GATEWAY	DISTANCE			
Ø ADS	0.0.0.0/0		10.226.128.1	1			
1 ADC	10.0.1.0/24	10.0.1.2	ether3	0			
2 ADo	10.0.2.0/24		10.0.1.1	110			
			10.0.3.1				
3 ADC	10.0.3.0/24	10.0.3.2	ether2	0			
4 ADo	10.10.10.1/32		10.0.1.1	110			
5 ADC	10.10.10.3/32	10.10.10.3	Loopback	0			
6 ADo	10.10.10.4/32		10.0.3.1	110			
7 ADC	10.226.128.0/20	10.226.130.218	ether1	0			
8 ADo	192.168.100.0/24		10.0.1.1	110			
9 ADo	192.168.200.0/24		10.0.3.1	110			

Figure 15: CoreRouter's Routing Table

Each entry in the routing table has a route number denoted #, a flag, a destination address denoted DST-ADDRESS, a preferred source denoted PREF-SRC, a gateway denoted GATEWAY, & an OSPF routing distance denoted DISTANCE. The explanation of each entry is as follows:

- 0. This entry has a destination of 0.0.0/0, a gateway of 10.226.144.1, a flag of ADS meaning that it is Active, **D**ynamic (the route is dynamically learned through the routing protocol), & Static (the route is statically configured), & a distance of 1, which means that the route is highly preferred. It has no preferred source. This entry has the destination address of 0.0.0/0 which represents the default route this is where any destination address that doesn't match a specific route in the routing table is sent. Any traffic that matches this default destination route will be forwarded to the gateway, which sends it out to the Internet.
- 1. This entry has a destination of 10.0.1.0/24, a preferred source of 10.0.1.2, a gateway of ether3, & a distance of 0. This destination address is that of the EngBuilding network, and the gateway is the link from CoreRouter to EngBuilding. Its preferred source is the IP of the gateway to the EngBuilding router on ether3. Its flag is ADC which means that it is Active, Dynamic, & Connected, i.e. the route represents a directly connected network (that of EngBuilding). It has a cost of 1, which is quite low, showing that it is highly preferred.
- 2. This entry has a destination of 10.0.2.0/24, no preferred source, a gateway of 10.0.1.1 or 10.0.3.1, & a distance of 110. The destination is that of the ITBuilding network, and the two potential gateways are that of the EngBuilding router and the CoreRouter router, indicating that the network can be reached from either router. Its flag is ADo, where the "o" represents that the route was discovered through the OSPF protocol. It has a high cost of 110, which shows that it is not preferred.
- 3. This entry has a destination of 10.0.3.0/24, a preferred source of 10.0.3.2, a gateway of ether2, & a distance of 0. This is the route to the ITBuilding network and its preferred source is from within that network. Its flag is ADC meaning that it is active, dynamic, & connected and the distance of 0 indicates it is highly preferred, likely because it is directly connected to the router.
- 4. This entry has a destination of 10.10.1/32, no preferred source, a gateway of 10.0.1.1, & a distance of 110. The destination is the loopback address of the EngBuilding router and the gateway is that of the link that joins EngBuilding & CoreRouter. Its flag is ADo indicating that it was discovered via the OSPF protoocl and the distance of 110 indicates that it is not preferred.
- 5. This entry has a destination of 10.10.3/32, a preferred source of 10.10.3.3, a gateway of Loopback, & a distance of 0. The destination is the loopback address of the CoreRouter and the gateway is also the loopback address. Its flag is ADo indicating that it was discovered via the OSPF protocol and the distance of 0 indicates that it is highly preferred, likely because it is literally the same device.
- 6. This entry has a destination of 10.10.4/32, no preferred source, a gateway of 10.0.3.1, & a distance of 110. The destination is the loopback address of the ITBuilding router and the gateway is that of the link that joins ITBuilding & CoreRouter. Its flag is ADO indicating that it was discovered via the OSPF protocol and the distance of 110 indicates that it is not preferred.
- 7. This entry has a destination of 10.226.128.0/32, a preferred source of 10.226.130.218, a gateway of ether1, & a distance of 0. The destination address is the same IP as the gateway of route 0, as this is the address out onto the internet, via a

University router. Its flag is ADC indicating that it is directly connected to CoreRouter and the distance of 0 indicates that it is highly preferred, likely because it is directly connected.

- 8. This entry has a destination of 192.168.100.0/24, no preferred source, a gateway of 10.0.1.1, & a distance of 110. The destination address is that of VLAN101, and its gateway is the address of the link between CoreRouter & EngBuilding, as VLAN101 is only accessible through EngBuilding. Its flag is ADo indicating that it was discovered via the OSPF protocol and the distance of 110 indicates that it is not preferred.
- 9. This entry has a destination of 192.168.200.0/24, no preferred source, a gateway of 10.0.3.1, & a distance of 110. The destination address is the IP of VLAN202, and its gateway is the address of the link between CoreRouter & ITBuilding, as VLAN202 is only accessible through ITBuilding. Its flag is ADo indicating that it was discovered via the OSPF protocol and the distance of 110 indicates that it is not preferred.

7 What if Each Router Wasn't Set Up to Redistribute Connected Networks?

If each router was not set up to redistribute connected networks, the other routers would not be aware of the networks that were directly connected to the other routers, and therefore ITBuilding & CoreRouter would not be aware of the existence of VLAN101, and EngBuilding & CoreRouter would not be aware of the existence of VLAN202. This would mean that these networks would not be included in the routing tables of the routers that are not directly connected to them and therefore they would not be reachable from these routers using OSPF routing. This would prevent the VPCs from being able to ping each other: if PC1-VLAN101 tried to ping PC2-VLAN202, EngBuilding would not know where to route the traffic next, as ITBuilding wouldn't have told EngBuilding that it was connected to VLAN202. The inverse would also be true if PC2-VLAN202 tried to ping PC1-VLAN101.

8 Traceroute from PC1-VLAN101 to PC2-VLAN202



Figure 16: Trace from PC1-VLAN101 to PC2-VLAN202

8.1 Explanation of the Route Taken

The trace from PC1-VLAN101 to PC2-VLAN202 takes three hops:

- 1. 192.168.100.1: the gateway to VLAN101 on the EngBuilding router. Any traffic entering or exiting VLAN101 must pass through this gateway.
- 2. 10.0.2.2: the gateway to the ITBuilding router on its ether1 interface, which links EngBuilding to ITBuilding.
- 3. 192.168.200.254: the VPC PC2-VLAN202 itself, which is naturally the final destination in a successful trace to this device.

9 Long Ping from PC1-VLAN101 to PC2-VLAN202

Below is the output of a 30 seconds-long ping that was made from PC1-VLAN101 to PC2-VLAN202. While this ping was running, the link from the EngBuilding router to the ITBuilding router was suspended.

PC1-VLAN101> ping 192.168.200.254 -c 30 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=1 ttl=62 time=1.814 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=2 ttl=62 time=2.063 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=3 ttl=62 time=2.075 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=4 ttl=62 time=1.750 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=5 ttl=62 time=1.796 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=6 ttl=62 time=1.649 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=7 ttl=62 time=1.750 ms 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=8 timeout 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=9 ttl=61 time=2.002 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=10 ttl=61 time=1.902 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=11 ttl=61 time=1.598 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=12 ttl=61 time=1.727 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=13 ttl=61 time=1.728 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=14 ttl=61 time=1.954 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=15 ttl=61 time=1.732 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=16 ttl=61 time=1.733 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=17 ttl=61 time=1.879 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=18 ttl=61 time=2.334 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=19 ttl=61 time=1.475 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=20 ttl=61 time=1.920 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=21 ttl=61 time=1.960 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=22 ttl=61 time=2.041 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=23 ttl=61 time=2.043 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=24 ttl=61 time=2.117 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=25 ttl=61 time=1.867 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=26 ttl=61 time=1.795 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=27 ttl=61 time=2.011 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=28 ttl=61 time=2.143 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=29 ttl=61 time=2.053 ms 84 bytes from 192.168.200.254 icmp_seq=30 ttl=61 time=2.049 ms

Figure 17: Long Ping from PC1-VLAN101 to PC2-VLAN202

The EngBuilding \leftrightarrow ITBuilding link was suspended just before the 8th packet was sent, resulting in this packet being dropped as it was sent along a route that no longer existed. OSPF kicked in very quickly and the traffic was re-routed after just one lost packet. It is quite obvious from looking at the network topology that the only other way the traffic could have been routed was from EngBuilding \rightarrow CoreRouter \rightarrow ITBuilding, which requires an extra hop. This path, being longer & not direct, would have not been preferred by OSPF when there was a link between EngBuilding & ITBuilding, but now that it's the best possible option, it will make use of it. We can see why this route was not preferred by the OSPF protocol, as it usually takes noticeably longer than the original route.

PC1-	VLAN101> trac	e 192.168.200.2	254 -P 1	
trac	e to 192.168.	200.254, 8 hops	s max (ICMP), press Ctrl+C to	stop
1	192.168.100.	1 0.624 ms (0.487 ms 0.352 ms	
2	10.0.1.2 0	.813 ms 0.662	ms 0.665 ms	
3	10.0.3.1 1	.079 ms 0.923	ms 0.968 ms	
4	192.168.200.	254 1.225 ms	1.226 ms 1.207 ms	

Figure 18: Trace from PC1-VLAN101 to PC2-VLAN202 After Suspending the EngBuilding \leftrightarrow ITBuilding Link

Comparing the above trace to the one ran previously, we can see that there is one extra hop now that the EngBuilding \leftrightarrow ITBuilding link has been suspended and that it does not go through the 10.0.2.2 gateway it did when we first ran the ping. That gateway was the one between EngBuilding & ITBuilding, which is of course now gone. Instead, the traffic travels over the link between EngBuilding & CoreRouter (10.0.1.2) and then over the link between CoreRouter & ITBuilding (10.0.3.1), as expected.

10 Packet Capture on Link from EngBuilding to CoreRouter

I ran a packet capture on the link from EngBuilding to CoreRouter and restored the link from EngBuilding to CoreRouter, then stopped the packet capture after around 30 seconds to ensure that OSPF had detected the topology changed and re-converged. Nine LSA packets were captured:

1. The first two packets are LS Update packets originating from EngBuilding & ITBuilding. The first originated from

10.0.1.2 advertising 10.10.10.4 (ITBuilding) while the second originated from 10.0.10.1 advertising 10.10.10.1 (EngBuilding). This is the routers announcing that they can be reached over this new topology.

- 2. The next two packets are LS Acknowledgements, originating from the same two routers, each acknowledging the other router's update.
- 3. The next packet is an LS Update originating from 10.0.1.1 advertising 10.10.10.1 (EngBuilding) again. Another packet from the same origin then advertised 10.10.10.4 (ITBuilding). This being the IP address which originally advertised EngBuilding shows that it has learnt that ITBuilding is reachable to it from its advertisement. 10.0.1.2 then sent a packet advertising ITBuilding again.
- 4. 10.0.1.1 acknowledged 10.0.1.2's advertisement of 10.10.10.4, and 10.0.1.2 acknowledged 10.0.1.1's advertisement of EngBuilding.

30 14.004908	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	110 LS Update
31 14.005286	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	0SPF	110 LS Update
32 14.015397	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
33 15.006820	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
34 15.006886	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	78 LS Acknowledge
35 15.007208	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	0SPF	78 LS Acknowledge
36 15.017417	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
43 16.008981	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
44 16.009386	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
45 17.000961	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
46 17.011565	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
47 18.003021	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
48 18.013668	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
49 19.005219	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
50 19.015739	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
51 20.007249	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
52 20.017764	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
53 21.009251	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
54 21.009610	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
55 22.001081	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
56 22.011737	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
57 23.003215	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
58 23.013742	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
59 24.005241	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
60 24.015861	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
61 25.007315	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
62 25.017830	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
63 26.009323	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
64 26.009801	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
65 27.001263	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
66 27.011802	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
67 28.003393	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
68 28.014076	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
69 29.005702	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
70 29.017443	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	110 LS Update
71 29.017487	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
72 29.017990	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	142 LS Update
73 29.018094	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	142 LS Update
74 30.009596	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	0SPF	82 Hello Packet
75 30.010002	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	82 Hello Packet
76 30.010038	10.0.1.1	224.0.0.5	OSPF	98 LS Acknowledge
77 30.010287	10.0.1.2	224.0.0.5	OSPF	78 LS Acknowledge

Figure 19: OSPF Packets Captured on the EngBuilding \leftrightarrow CoreRouter Link