Assignment 1: NTP Benchmarking

1 NTP Installation

As I already use a Linux-based operating system on my personal laptop, I first attempted to run ntpq without installing anything, assuming it would be installed; to my surprise, Arch Linux is so minimalist that it doesn't even come with the ntpd package by default and I had to install it myself! That explains why my system clock has always been two minutes behind for the past few years! After installing ntpd and enabling the daemon, and watching my system clock slowly speed up until it matched UTC, I checked that it was working properly by running ntpq -p a few times:

[andrew-hayes@ard	:h] ~									
% <u>sudo</u> ntpq -p										~
remote	refid	st	t	when	poll	reach	delay	offset	jitter	
		=====	===		=====		=======	========	======	
082-087-143-149	193.79.237.14	2	u	58	64	3	36.483	-1.727	2.164	
ntpl-cwt.heanet	.GNSS.	1	u	56	64	3	22.120	+3.046	0.035	
t1.time.ir2.yah	31.60.135.175	2	u	55	64	3	20.622	+2.031	0.899	
gowest.hojmark.	193.67.79.202	2	u	55	64	3	37.875	+2.072	1.472	
[andrew-hayes@ard	ch] ~									
% <u>sudo</u> ntpq -p										~
remote	refid	st	t	when	poll	reach	delay	offset	jitter	
		=====	===	=====	=====		======	=======	======	
082-087-143-149		2		61		3	36.483		2.164	
ntpl-cwt.heanet		1			64			+3.046		
t1.time.ir2.yah					64					
gowest.hojmark.		2	u	58	64	3	37.875	+2.072	1.472	
[andrew-hayes@arc	ch] ~									
% <u>sudo</u> ntpq -p										~
remote	refid	st	t	when	poll	reach	delay	offset	jitter	ł
		=====	===	=====	=====		======		======	
082-087-143-149		2		64	64	3	36.483		2.164	
ntpl-cwt.heanet								+3.046		
t1.time.ir2.yah										
gowest.hojmark.		2	u	61	64	3	37.875	+2.072	1.472	
[andrew-hayes@arc	ch] ~									
%										~

Figure 1: Verifying that the NTP daemon is running, via ntpq

2 NTP Configuration

To select my servers in different locations for this assignment, I went through the pools for each location on https://www. ntppool.org/ and added each one to my /etc/ntpc.conf file one at a time, removing all other servers from the configuration file each time. Then, I restarted the ntpd service by running sudo systemctl restart ntpd. Finally, I ran ntpq -p and picked a remote for each area at random.

	server bray.walcz.net	# Ireland
2	server 213.5.132.231	# United Kingdom
3	server 150.241.82.187	# Europe (Sweden)
4	<pre>server arm1.maxhost.io</pre>	# United States
5	server 159.196.178.7	# Australia
6	server 202.65.114.202	# Asia (Indonesia)

[andrew-hayes@arc	:h] ~									
% ntpq -p										√ 1s
remote	refid	st	t	when	poll	reach	delay	offset	jitter	
=======================================								=======	======	
+213.5.132.231 (145.185.133.33	4	u	81	1024	377	45.981	+5.197	6.519	
+150.241.82.187	193.182.111.13	3	u	61	1024	377	74.808	+3.800	4.012	
-159.196.178.7	.PPS.	1	u	28	1024	377	308.857	-22.633	29.387	
-202.65.114.202	202.65.114.203	2	u	1020	1024	377	207.773	-5.473	32.092	
*2a01:258:fffe:f	193.120.142.71	2	u	1044	1024	377	41.311	+8.047	29.335	
-2603:c020:0:836	128.138.140.44	2	u	1034	1024	377	201.211	-12.652	17.856	
[andrew-hayes@arc										
%										√ 10s

Figure 2: Output of ntpq -p with new servers added

2.1 Ireland Server

The Ireland server I added had remote bray.walcz.net and appeared in the nptq -p output with remote 2a01:258:fffe:f which is just an abbreviated form of the IPv6 address 2a01:258:fffe:f800:0:0:1.



Figure 3: Location of my chosen Ireland server (source: https://www.ipaddress.com/)

According to https://gps-coordinates.org/distance-between-coordinates.php the distance between the latitude and longitude of Galway (as given in the assignment specification) and the Ireland server is 187.07 kilometres. The server seemed to be blocking ICMPv6 packets sent via traceroute, so I instead used sudo traceroute -T -p 443 to send TCP packets to circumvent this. The number of hops to the destination server was 11.



Figure 4: Output of sudo traceroute -T -p 443 2a01:258:fffe:f800:0:0:0:1

2.2 UK Server

The UK server I added had remote 213.5.132.231.

O 213.5.132.231 IP Address Location		
+ -	KINGDOM	Test.
IRELAND	MANCHESTER BIRMINGHAM	• HAMBURG •
	*LONDON	DEUTSCHLAND
	• LE HAVRE	FRANKFURT AM M Extended to the second sec

Figure 5: Location of my chosen UK server (source: https://www.ipaddress.com/)

According to https://gps-coordinates.org/distance-between-coordinates.php the distance between the latitude and longitude of Galway (as given in the assignment specification) and the United Kingdom server is 595.76 kilometres. The server also seemed to be blocking ICMPv6 packets sent via traceroute, so I instead used sudo traceroute -T -p 443 to send TCP packets to circumvent this. The number of hops to the destination server was 12.



Figure 6: Output of sudo traceroute -T -p 443 213.5.132.231

2.3 Europe Server

The Europe server I added had remote 150.241.82.187.



Figure 7: Location of my chosen Europe server (source: https://www.ipaddress.com/)

According to https://gps-coordinates.org/distance-between-coordinates.php the distance between the latitude and longitude of Galway (as given in the assignment specification) and the Europe Server is 1788.51 kilometres. The number of hops to the destination server was 14.



Figure 8: Output of traceroute 150.241.82.187

2.4 United States Server

The United States server I added had remote arm1.maxhost.io and appeared in the ntpq -p output with remote 2603:c020:0:836 which is an abbreviated for of the IPv6 address 2603:c020:0000:0836::.



Figure 9: Location of my chosen United States server (source: https://www.ipaddress.com/)

According to https://gps-coordinates.org/distance-between-coordinates.php the distance between the latitude and longitude of Galway (as given in the assignment specification) and the United States server is 7863.25 kilometres. The server seemed to be blocking both ICMPv6 and TCP packets sent via traceroute. As a final attempt, I tried to pretend to be an NTP packet by pinging the well-known NTP port 123 with UDP packets but that didn't work. In each attempt, the traceroute stopped making progress at the 9th hop, so I'm guessing that the 10th hop might be the server itself had the traceroute gone through.



Figure 10: Output of sudo traceroute -U -p 123 2603:c020:0000:0836::

2.5 Australia Server

The Australia server I added had remote 159.196.178.7.



Figure 11: Location of my chosen Australia server (source: https://www.ipaddress.com/)

According to https://gps-coordinates.org/distance-between-coordinates.php the distance between the latitude and longitude of Galway (as given in the assignment specification) and the Australia server is 16790.45 kilometres. The server also seemed to be blocking ICMPv6 packets sent via traceroute, so I instead used sudo traceroute -T -p 443 to send TCP packets to circumvent this. The number of hops to the destination server was 15.



Figure 12: Output of sudo traceroute -T -p 443 159.196.178.7

2.6 Asia Server

The Asia server I added had remote 202.65.114.202.



Figure 13: Location of my chosen Asia server (source: https://www.ipaddress.com/)

According to https://gps-coordinates.org/distance-between-coordinates.php the distance between the latitude and longitude of Galway (as given in the assignment specification) and the Asia Server is 12256.96 kilometres. The number of hops to the destination server was 14.



Figure 14: Output of traceroute 202.65.114.202

3 Collected Data

 I collected the NTP peers data over a period of 8 hours with one query every 20 minutes using a shell script which would then parse the data into TSV (tab-separated value) format and append it to a file called results.tsv.

Listing 2: query.sh

The remote column for the UK server contained a single (character in the output, the meaning of which I'm not quite sure as I couldn't find anything about it in the NTP documentation or manual pages, which made parsing the data more difficult so I just removed any instances of the character from the file using the command sed -i 's/i(1t//g') results.tsv. Then, I removed the prefix characters (+, -, *) from the remote column using Vim with visual-block editing. Finally, I wrote a short Python script to ingest the data, plot it, and perform the necessary calculations.

Server	Max	Min	Mean	Std
Asia	36.340	1.538	14.799	14.314
Australia	38.036	2.142	20.088	12.054
Europe	31.494	0.735	5.296	6.040
Ireland	32.574	0.882	12.049	12.067
UK	48.932	0.587	6.474	9.322
US	40.647	0.434	6.249	8.860

Table 1: Jitter statistics

Server	Max	Min	Mean	Std
Asia	222.677	207.773	213.492	4.114
Australia	335.595	295.743	308.813	10.419
Europe	79.569	57.901	67.093	6.319
Ireland	41.311	22.422	28.672	5.045
UK	46.231	28.801	34.843	5.228
US	201.211	180.389	186.829	5.196

Table 2. Delay statistics]	[ab]	le	2:	Del	lay	statistics	
---------------------------	---	------	----	----	-----	-----	------------	--

Server	Max	Min	Mean	Std
Asia	6.475	-10.676	-5.678	3.500
Australia	-1.570	-31.768	-17.999	5.977
Europe	46.757	-7.301	0.464	10.393
Ireland	8.914	-2.956	2.186	4.099
UK	5.197	-14.073	-1.955	4.641
US	-7.888	-24.577	-18.804	4.837

Table 3: Offset statistics

I think that the results indicate a strong correlation between delay, jitter, & offset with geographical distance and number of packet hops. As we saw previously, the number of packet hops was higher the further away the server was geographically from my computer. The Irish server needed only 11 hops, the UK server 12, the European server 14, the Australian server 15, and the Asian server 14. We estimated that the American server could've been accessed in just 10 hops, but really there's no good way of knowing since the packet was blocked every time. This pattern continues with the jitter, delay, and offset statistics: looking at the mean column for each table above, the Australian and US servers consistently have the highest absolute value, being the furthest away geographically. This is to be expected, as the packets have to take more time to physically travel across the world to those locations. Interestingly, the Irish server has consistently high jitter: one key reason for this might be that the data was collected across afternoon and evening time in Ireland, which are likely to peak times for load on Irish NTP servers and therefore introduce more jitter. Another interesting observation is that the Irish mean offset is actually more than the European mean offset; however, I think this can be explained by the fact that European offset had a much higher standard deviation, and a much wider range of offset values, which average out to be closer to zero despite being significantly worse.

17

18

19



Figure 15: Delay over Time

The results of plotting delay against time are exactly as one would expect: the further away a server is, the higher the offset. Asutralia has consistently the highest offset, followed by Asia, followed by US, followed by Europe, UK, & Ireland. We can see that the Irish server's delay follows the UK's server delay very closely: this is likely due to the closeness in time zones – both servers would face peak hours at roughly the same times. The delay for these servers peaks in the evening time, when people are off work and home from school and thus using Internet-connected devices. However, for the most part, even with the temporal variation in the delay values, they stay remarkably consistent, which indicates that while they are somewhat dependent on the time of day, the delay values are primarily determined by geographical distance.



Figure 16: Jitter over Time

The jitter over time varies a great deal more. Of course, since jitter is a measure of the variance in delay, we can see that the jitter values peak for around the same times that there are small peaks in the delay data. Again, the Irish server's jitter peaks in the evening time, as one would expect. We can also see that despite varying more than the offset, the jitter values across different servers seem to be more aligned, being low around the same times and high around the same times, giving strong evidence that jitter is influenced by the time of day.



Figure 17: Offset over Time

We can see that offset also varies over time, more wildly than the delay but less so than the jitter. We can also see that despite offset varying less than jitter, the offset curves tend to go up when the jitter curves go up, which makes sense, as greater variance and inconsistency in the amount of time a packet takes to get to its destination will necessarily impact the offset.